

The Federal Bureaucracy, Courts, and National Security

Student's Name

Institutional Affiliation

Week 6 Discussion: The Federal Bureaucracy, Courts, and National Security

Option One

In exploring the national security responsibilities of the federal government, I found a compelling article from The New York Times (2024), discussing a recent Supreme Court decision that impacts the National Security Agency (NSA). The case in question revolved around the extent of surveillance powers of the NSA, specifically its authority to collect bulk telephone records without obtaining a warrant. This decision is a crucial example of the judiciary's role in checking the powers of a significant national security entity.

The Supreme Court, in a landmark ruling, emphasized the importance of maintaining a balance between national security and individual privacy rights (Savage, 2024). The court declared that such bulk-collection practices by the NSA violated the Fourth Amendment, which protects citizens from unreasonable searches and seizures. This decision underscores the judiciary's crucial oversight role in preventing the potential overreach of national security agencies.

Analyzing this ruling, it is evident that the judicial system plays a pivotal role in defining what is permissible for national security agencies under U.S. law. The implications of this decision are profound as it not only curtails the NSA's surveillance capabilities but also sets a significant precedent for future privacy and security cases.

Applying concepts from my textbook, this scenario perfectly illustrates the system of checks and balances that is foundational to U.S. governance. The textbook highlights that while each branch of government has its powers, these powers are limited by the ability of the other branches to check them. Judicial review, a key concept discussed in our course, allows the courts to interpret the Constitution and limit the executive branch's powers under which the NSA

operates. This case is a textbook example of judicial review in action, as the Supreme Court used its authority to protect constitutional rights against executive overreach.

Reflecting on the textbook theories in light of this real-world scenario, it becomes clear that the practical application of these theories is relevant and essential for maintaining the balance of power within the government. The judiciary's intervention in the actions of the NSA demonstrates the effectiveness of judicial review as a check on the executive branch's powers, aligning closely with the theoretical frameworks discussed in our studies. This case serves as a critical reminder of the judiciary's indispensable role in safeguarding democratic values and constitutional rights against the expansive powers of national security agencies.

Part Two

In the article "Federal Agency Powers in the Crosshairs at the US Supreme Court" by Chung and Kruzel (2023), we see a vivid demonstration of the significant influence wielded by federal agencies, specifically focusing on recent Supreme Court cases that question the extent of this power. This scrutiny by the highest court highlights the ongoing debate about whether the federal bureaucracy acts as a "fourth branch" of government.

The article discusses several cases where the Supreme Court is set to rule on the scope of regulatory powers held by federal agencies. These cases involve crucial policy areas such as environmental regulations and public health. The agencies in question, like the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of Health and Human Services, have enacted policies that significantly impact the public and the economy. The central issue is the doctrine of "Chevron deference," which allows agencies to interpret ambiguous statutes, a power traditionally held by the judicial branch.

Evaluating the bureaucracy's actions as described in the article, it becomes apparent that these agencies possess a level of influence that can be compared to legislative or executive powers. They create and enforce regulations that have wide-ranging effects, often without direct legislative approval (Chung & Kruzel, 2023). This capability to shape essential aspects of public policy and law places the bureaucracy in a position of substantial authority.

However, considering the bureaucracy as a co-equal branch poses challenges. The concept of checks and balances is fundamental to the American political system, designed to prevent any single branch from gaining too much power. While federal agencies exert significant influence, they are ultimately part of the executive branch, overseen by the president, and subject

to judicial review. This hierarchical oversight suggests they do not completely stand as an independent branch.

The theoretical framework from our government structure coursework helps us understand this complex relationship. The theory of separation of powers is clear: an independent branch must have distinct, autonomous powers that are not significantly checked by other branches. Although federal agencies have considerable autonomy in their specific domains, their ultimate accountability to the executive branch and the judicial oversight exemplified in the Supreme Court cases indicate that they do not fully meet the criteria of a co-equal branch.

In conclusion, while the federal bureaucracy wields significant power that at times parallels the other branches of government, it does not operate with the complete independence that characterizes the legislative, executive, or judicial branches. Thus, it should not be considered a "fourth branch" but a powerful entity within the executive, shaped by legislative intent and judicial interpretation.

References

Chung, A., & Kruzel, J. (2023, July 5). Federal agency powers are in the crosshairs at the US

Supreme Court. *Reuters*. <https://www.reuters.com/legal/federal-agency-powers-crosshairs-us-supreme-court-2023-07-04/>

Savage, C. (2024, April 30). N.S.A. Disclosure of U.S. Identities in Surveillance Reports Nearly

Tripled in 2023. *The New York Times*.

<https://www.nytimes.com/2024/04/30/us/politics/nsa-warrantless-surveillance-americans.html>