

Terminating a Patient and the Patient Care Partnership

Student's Name

Institutional Affiliation

2-1 Discussion: Terminating a Patient & The Patient Care Partnership

Scenario 1: Terminating a Patient

The articles present different perspectives on mask usage during COVID-19. One argues for masks' public health benefits in reducing virus transmission, while another views mask mandates as a form of control, highlighting personal freedom concerns.

Response to Mask Safety Concerns

Addressing the claim that masks are dangerous requires scientific evidence. Studies show that masks significantly reduce respiratory droplet spread, the primary transmission route for COVID-19 (CDC, 2020). Healthcare professionals debunk misconceptions, such as masks causing carbon dioxide retention or oxygen deficiency. Masks are safe for most individuals, including those with mild respiratory conditions, as they do not impede normal breathing (WHO, 2020).

Control Debate: Masks and Personal Freedom

The assertion that mask mandates are about control rather than health involves a complex ethical issue. While public health mandates can impinge on individual freedoms, they aim to protect the broader community. In healthcare, the primary goal is patient safety and reducing disease transmission. Therefore, while the notion of control has validity from a personal liberty standpoint, the ethical justification for mask mandates lies in their effectiveness in safeguarding public health. Balancing public health and individual freedom is delicate but necessary during pandemics.

Mask Policies in Educational Settings

Evaluating mask-wearing for students in class requires a nuanced approach. For college-aged students, masks help prevent outbreaks in densely populated environments where

physical distancing is challenging. The health benefits are clear, as masks reduce the spread of the virus. However, the psychological impact, such as communication barriers and increased anxiety, must also be considered.

Younger elementary school students might struggle more with prolonged mask use due to discomfort and the need for constant reminders. Nevertheless, in both age groups, the health benefits of mask-wearing outweigh the challenges. Evidence suggests that school mask mandates significantly reduce transmission rates (AAP, 2021).

Patient Dismissal Over Mask Non-Compliance

Determining whether not wearing a mask is sufficient grounds for patient dismissal involves ethical and practical considerations. Ethically, healthcare providers must protect all patients and staff from potential harm. A patient refusing to wear a mask endangers others, particularly those with compromised immune systems. Practically, enforcing mask policies ensures a safe environment during a pandemic.

However, dismissal should be a last resort. Providers should first attempt to educate and persuade the patient about the importance of mask-wearing. If a patient consistently refuses and poses a significant risk, dismissal should be considered. This approach balances patient rights with the ethical duty to protect others' health and safety.

Conclusion

The ethical implications of mask-wearing in healthcare and educational settings highlight the importance of balancing individual freedoms with public health responsibilities. Scientific evidence supports masks' efficacy in reducing COVID-19 transmission, making mandates justifiable despite personal control concerns. Although practical challenges exist in educational environments, masks benefit all age groups. Patient dismissal over mask non-compliance should

prioritize education and safety, emphasizing the complex interplay between ethics, public health, and personal freedom in healthcare decisions.

Scenario 2: The Patient Care Partnership

Historical Context and Original Objectives

The Patient's Bill of Rights and the Patient Care Partnership were established to empower patients, protect their rights, and promote high-quality care. Historically, these documents emerged in response to growing concerns about patient mistreatment and the need for greater transparency and accountability in healthcare. The primary goals were to ensure that patients understood their rights, received respectful and ethical treatment, and had access to the necessary information to make informed decisions about their care.

Evaluation of Effectiveness

These documents have achieved significant success in raising awareness about patient rights and establishing standards for patient care. They have led to improvements in how patients are treated, ensuring they receive comprehensive information about their conditions and treatment options. The emphasis on patient empowerment and protection has fostered a more patient-centered approach in healthcare.

However, there are limitations. While the documents provide a framework for patient rights, their implementation varies across healthcare settings. There is still a need for consistent enforcement and monitoring to ensure that the principles outlined are upheld in practice. Additionally, some argue that these documents alone are insufficient to address all the complexities and challenges in patient care, necessitating further oversight and enhancements.

The Role of External Entities in Healthcare Doctrines

External entities, such as government agencies and professional organizations, are crucial in establishing healthcare doctrines. Standardized policies ensure consistent care and protect patients from unethical practices. These organizations can provide a comprehensive and balanced approach to patient care, integrating diverse perspectives and expertise.

However, there is a debate about whether it is beneficial for these external bodies to dictate patient treatment policies. Standardized care guidelines help maintain quality and safety across the healthcare system. On the other hand, excessive regulation can limit provider autonomy, stifling innovation and responsiveness to individual patient needs.

Potential Issues with Provider-Specific Guidelines

Allowing individual providers to set their treatment guidelines could lead to significant variability in care quality. This approach risks creating ethical inconsistencies, as different providers may have varying standards and interpretations of moral principles. Such variability can result in unequal treatment, potential patient harm, and confusion about patient rights and expectations.

To mitigate these risks, it is essential to establish a balance between standardized guidelines and provider autonomy. Providers should have the flexibility to tailor care to individual patients while adhering to core ethical standards and principles. Continuous education, moral training, and effective oversight mechanisms can help ensure that provider-specific doctrines do not compromise patient care quality and safety.

Conclusion

The Patient's Bill of Rights and the Patient Care Partnership have significantly improved patient care and rights protection. While these documents have made strides in empowering patients and setting care standards, their implementation and enforcement need further

enhancement. External entities play a vital role in ensuring standardized care, but a balance with provider autonomy is necessary to address individual patient needs effectively. We can achieve high-quality, ethical, patient-centered healthcare by combining standardized guidelines with tailored care approaches.

References

- American Academy of Pediatrics. (2021). COVID-19 Guidance for Safe Schools. Retrieved from <https://services.aap.org/en/pages/2019-novel-coronavirus-covid-19-infections/clinical-guidance/covid-19-planning-considerations-return-to-in-person-education-in-schools/>
- American Hospital Association. (2003). The Patient Care Partnership: Understanding Expectations, Rights and Responsibilities. Retrieved from <https://www.aha.org/system/files/2018-01/aha-patient-care-partnership.pdf>
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2020). How to Select, Wear, and Clean Your Mask. Retrieved from <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/about-face-coverings.html>
- Department of Health and Human Services. (2006). Improving the Patient Experience: Best Practices for Hospitals. Retrieved from <https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/improving-patient-experience.pdf>
- National Institutes of Health. (2007). Patient Bill of Rights. Retrieved from <https://www.nih.gov/institutes-nih/clinical-center/patient-bill-rights>
- World Health Organization. (2020). Advice on the use of masks in the context of COVID-19. Retrieved from <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public/when-and-how-to-use-masks>